

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/661,527	09/15/2003	Ikuya Arai	HIT 2 690	8024	
24956	7590 09/06/2006	EXAMINER KUMAR, SRILAKSHMI K			
	LY, STANGER, MALUR				
1800 DIAGO SUITE 370	NAL ROAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			2629		
			DATE MAILED: 09/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/661,527		ARAI ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Srilakshmi K	. Kumar	2629				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication app	ears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum so re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.13 munication. tatutory period wi y will, by statute,	ATE OF THIS 6(a). In no event, ill apply and will e cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tim xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONEI	L.  ely filed  the mailing date of this ( ) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <i>June</i> :	8. 2006.						
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>16-27</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	Claim(s) 16-27 is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or	election req	uirement.					
	on Papers		·						
-	•	o Evaminas	-						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The specification is objected to by the			shipptod to by the F	- -				
ات(۱۰	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	o by the Ext		The attached Cinec	ACCOUNT OF TORMET	10-102.			
	•	ff!		.05110000440()	(1) (2)				
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) <sub>l</sub>	a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>								
	,	•	-		d in this Nationa	Stage			
* 5	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Gee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I	PTO-948)	4	) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🛛 Infor	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o			Notice of Informal P		O-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/8/2006</u> . 6) Other:									

Application/Control Number: 10/661,527 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The following office action is in response to the amendment filed on June 8, 2006. Claims 16-27 are pending. Claims 16, 19-21, 24 and 25 have been amended. Claims 26 and 27 are newly added.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 16-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McDaniel et al (US 4,415,985).

As to independent claims 16, 21 and 26, McDaniel et al disclose a display apparatus (Fig. 1, item 64) to an external computer (Fig. 1, item 50) for displaying an image on a screen on the basis of video and synchronization signals from said external computer (col. 6, lines 15-25), comprising; a memory (Fig. 1, item 6, col. 3, lines 27-29, col. 4, lines 1-2); a receiver which receives a control signal to control said image, which is generated by operating an input unit of said external computer (col. 5, lines 60-col. 6, lines 10, col. 10, lines 24-39); a control circuit which controls said image on said screen using control data included in said control signal received through said receiver and writes said control data into said memory (col. 5, lines 64-col. 6, lines 10); wherein said control circuit reads control data from said memory when said display apparatus is turned on and controls said image on said screen by using said control data read out from said memory (col. 6, lines 29-58).

Application/Control Number: 10/661,527

Art Unit: 2629

As to dependent claims 17 and 22, limitations of claims 16 and 21, and further comprising, McDaniel et al disclose wherein said memory is made of an eeprom (col. 1, lines 27-29).

As to dependent claims 18 and 23, limitations of claims 16 and 21, and further comprising, McDaniel et al disclose means for sending an acknowledge signal indicating receipt of said control signal to said external computer (col. 5, lines 3-7, requiring a ready signal and col. 5, lines 29-38, sending an acknowledge signal).

As to dependent claims 19 and 24, limitations of claims 16 and 21, and further comprising, McDaniel et al disclose wherein said input unit is a mouse (col. 10, lines 31-33).

As to dependent claims 20 and 25, limitations of claims 16 and 21, and further comprising, McDaniel et al disclose wherein said input unit is a keyboard (col. 10, lines 31-33).

As to dependent claim 27, limitations of claim 26, and further comprising, McDaniel et al disclose wherein said visual characteristic of said mage is at least one of a display size, a display position and a brightness of said image (col. 6, lines 1-10).

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed June 8, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues in the remarks on pages 7-9, where the prior art of McDaniel et al teach a driving circuit for selectively displaying on the screen of a cathode ray tube, a pre-specified set of characters instead of a control circuit that controls a visual characteristic of an image on a screen using control information received by the receiver and written into a memory. Examiner, respectfully, disagrees. In col. 5, lines 60-col. 6, line 10, the prior art of McDaniel et al teach

where the CRT controller generates signals to be used by the CRT and further receives data from the DMA controller and uses it in order to control the characters/images to be displayed on the CRT, therefore disclosing the limitation set forth by the instant application.

Applicant further argues on page 7, lines 13-16, where "There is a substantial difference between controlling the signal or image as in the present invention and controlling dot matrix characters generated on a CRT in McDaniel et al. In the present invention, the control is directed to the image and not to the characters themselves." Examiner contends that a "signal" or "image" of the present invention is one and the same as the "character" disclosed by McDaniel. The "character" of McDaniel is also an image being displayed. Further, Applicant has failed to explain how the "signal" or "image" of the instant application is different than the "character" different from the "character" as disclosed by McDaniel.

With respect to the Applicant's arguments in regards to the memory associated with the display apparatus, Applicant argues where the PROM disclosed by McDaniel et al can not be the same memory as disclosed by the claimed limitation of the instant application. Examiner, respectfully, disagrees. McDaniel et al disclose in col. 6, lines 1-10 where the PROM stores the character information. With respect to applicant's arguments on where the memory is not located within the display apparatus, applicant does not claim where the memory must be within the display apparatus. McDaniel discloses where the display apparatus contains the memory which is shown by the EPROM in col. 5, lines 18-20).

As shown from the above, the limitation set forth in the instant application are taught by the prior art of McDaniel et al, therefore, the rejection is maintained and made FINAL.

#### Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Srilakshmi K. Kumar whose telephone number is 571 272 7769. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on 571 272 3638. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,527 Page 6

Art Unit: 2629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Srilakshmi K. Kumar Examiner Art Unit 2629

SKK March 4, 2006

SUMATI LEFKOWITZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER